**WNA CEAP - OPTIONAL TOOL**

Template for Peer Review or Content Review:

Ensuring that Clinical Content is Valid

|  |
| --- |
| Note: One strategy to ensure the clinical content validity of approved continuing education is to allow external (peer) review by persons with appropriate clinical expertise and no relevant financial relationships with ineligible companies (defined as those whose primary business is producing, marketing, selling, re-selling, or distributing healthcare products used by or on patients.) The questions below direct content reviewers to provide feedback about each of the requirements of the Standards for Integrity and Independence, Standard 1. For more information, see [www.accme.org/standards](http://www.accme.org/standards). |

**Reviewers of content: Please answer the following questions regarding the clinical content of the education.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 1. Are recommendations for patient care based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning, while giving a fair and balanced view of diagnostic and therapeutic options? *[****Standards for Integrity and Independence 1.1]*** | YES  NO |
| Comments on #1: | |
| 2. Does all scientific research referred to, reported, or used in this educational activity in support or justification of a patient care recommendation conform to the generally accepted standards of experimental design, data collection, analysis, and interpretation? *[****Standards for Integrity and Independence 1.2]*** | YES  NO |
| Comments on #2: | |
| 3. Are new and evolving topics for which there is a lower (or absent) evidence base, clearly identified as such within the education and individual presentations? ***[Standards for Integrity and Independence 1.3]*** | YES  NO |
| Comments on #3: | |
| 4. Does the educational activity avoid advocating for, or promoting, practices that are not, or not yet, adequately based on current science, evidence, and clinical reasoning? ***[Standards for Integrity and Independence 1.3]*** | YES  NO |
| Comments on #4: | |
| 5. Does the activity exclude any advocacy for, or promotion of, unscientific approaches to diagnosis or therapy, or recommendations, treatment, or manners of practicing healthcare that are determined to have risks or dangers that outweigh the benefits or are known to be ineffective in the treatment of patients*?* ***[Standards for Integrity and Independence 1.4]*** | YES  NO |
| Comments on #5: | |
| Other comments: | |